

The Marxification of the American Ethos: Critical Theory and its Pathological Ramifications

An Introduction
To The Series

Given

At
The QC Institute



13/11/2022
Andrushka Lareau

Fun Fact: When education is at the centre of Marxist analysis, literacy becomes a form of cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Friere) But if you switch the domain of Marxist analysis to race, whiteness becomes a form of cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Cheryl I. Harris) Shift the focal-point of Marxist analysis again to fatness, thinness becomes a form of cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Rothblum) Shift the domain of analysis yet again to feminism, masculinity is a form of cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Butler) Shifting the point of investigation yet again to disability, able-bodiedness becomes a form of cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Adrienne Asch) How about again to Queer Theory, normalcy becomes a cultural bourgeois property that needs to be dismantled. (Rubin and Butler) It never ends, this is what I mean by the Marxification of the American Ethos and Critical Theory's Pathological Ramifications.

Introduction

We began this lecture with the idea that Marxism is not only, in fact, a theology, but also a faith-based system that has nothing to do with the economic but everything to do with Gnostic. This Faith was the Faith in *Man* in an eternal state of transcendent becoming (*Economic and Philosophical Manuscripts of 1844*). In more specific terms, Marxism is Speculative Gnostic Theology (Voegelin, 2004), (Russell, 1945), (Fromm, 1975) because it believes that it has special exclusive access to knowledge that isn't reached by means of deduction, inference, correspondence or reason. We have learned that Marxism is a value-laden belief system with moral imperatives at its centre by claiming

not only a Theory of Man, but a Theory of Man that gives rise to duties of conscience. What Marks called *wedding theory to praxis* and what Paulo Freire called *going forth and proclaiming the word by denouncing the Old World for the New*. We finally learned that Marx rejected the Christian Eschaton of the kingdom of God only to merely replace it with a human form of salvation here on Earth through the esoteric ritual practices of consciousness raising and praxis.

"Estranged labor turns Man's species-being, both nature and his spiritual species-property, into a being alien to him, into a means of his individual existence. It estranges from man his own body, as well as external nature and his spiritual aspect, his human aspect." - Karl Marx.

Marxism isn't simply just philosophy or social theory (definitely not anything economic), it is rather deep ontological, wishy-washy, post-Hegelian, speculative gnostic theology.

"Considered purely as a philosopher, Marx has grave shortcomings. He is too practical, too much wrapped up in the problems of his time. His purview is confined to this planet, and, within this planet, to Man. It has been evident that Man has not the cosmic importance which he formerly arrogated to himself. No man who has failed to assimilate this fact has a right to call his philosophy scientific. Marx professed himself an atheist, but retained a cosmic optimism which only theism could justify." - Bertrand Russel

The Paradigm Shift from the Economic to the Cultural, From Class to Identity

- A. At this point in the lecture I discussed two of the most influential figureheads responsible for the American Ethos as it exists today, György Lukács and Antonio Gramsci. Both raging Marxists. Eventually their influence sparked a paradigm shift from *class-based* Marxism, to *identity-based* Marxism, that is from the *economic* to the *cultural*. I explain that this transition is in fact dangerous because the master/slave dialectic, the idea that all experience is reduced down to zero-sum conflict between oppressor and the oppressed, has broadened to and engulfed the cultural landscape. This means that all experience can be reduced down to nothing but the zero-sum conflict between, well, literally everything. It is no longer about the Master/Slave dialectic between the Oppressors and the Oppressed, but a Master/Slave dialectic between all categories. Why is today's society obsessed with identity? This is why. Why is today's culture nothing but a zero sum Hobbesian battle ground of identity groups? This is why. If there is any way to save Marxism, shift the domain of analysis to identity rather than class, shift the domain of analysis to culture, rather than the economic. This is what has happened. This is today's society. I must ask you again, is Marxism something economic? No. It isn't. This paradigm shift achieved single-handedly by these two figures came to be called neo-Marxism and it had nothing to do with the economic. The neo-Marxism, so rampant in the present political ethos, was

achieved by this paradigm shift. Bien, Joseph (1999) - Merleau-Ponty, Maurice (1973) Breines, Paul (1979).

Fun Fact! The Purpose of Critical Theory (neo-Marxism) is to overthrow and dismantle the status-quo of the Liberal order. This is not a Right-Wing conspiracy theory. (Mises, Hayek, Lindsay, Pluckrose, Boghossian, Mcwhorter, Sokal, Hicks, Hoff-Sommers, Friedman, Sowell, Arnhart)

“Something is Critical insofar as it seeks to liberate Man from all that oppresses him.” - Horkheimer

“We must introject (Pathologise by socially engineering) a New Morality into Man (New Sensibility) so as to make him intolerable of society.” - Marcuse

“We question the very foundations of the Liberal order, including equality theory, legal reasoning, neutral principles of constitutional, incrementalism, and Enlightenment rationalism.” - Crenshaw - Delgado - Stefancic

“The purpose isn't to understand society but to change it.” - Marx

“All that exists deserves to perish.” - Marx's favourite role model Mephistopheles in Goethe's Faust

“Critical Theory is a philosophical approach that seeks emancipation for human beings and actively works to change society in accordance with human needs.” - Bohman, James

“Once the philosophical foundation of democracy has collapsed, the statement that dictatorship is bad is only rationally valid for people who are not its beneficiaries.” - Max Horkheimer

“This use of the word “critical” is drawn from Marx's insistence that everything be “ruthlessly” criticized and from his admonition that the point of studying society is to change it.” - James Lindsay

- I. *Critical Pedagogy: A Pathological Ramification of Critical Theory*—Though, it wasn't enough by itself. There needed to be a Marxist revivalist. A Prophet from on high, who could take this groundbreaking material and inject it into the cultural domain more directly and viscerally. The best and most productive means of doing this is by attacking the educational institutions. His name was Paulo Friere and he did this by attacking the models of education (no better place in the Universe to change society at the fundamental level) by replacing the classical liberal approaches of Dewey and Montessori, with the Liberation Theology of Don Hélder Câmara, the Red Bishop of Recife. Let us define this system that gave the Class/Identity, Economic/Cultural paradigm shift its needed fuel to perpetuate completely through American society.

Fun Fact! We discussed what Liberalism is. Liberalism is not revolutionary nor is it reactionary, it doesn't seek to flip this world on its head nor does it seek to preserve all that which is. It only seeks to move forward, heuristically and incrementally against the negative grain and it has worked for over 500 years.

- II. Critical Pedagogy, (deceptively enacted on nearly every university campus in the United States) is an anti-liberal educational model that completely replaced the Liberal models of Dewey and Montessori. It is the application of Critical Theory (neo-Marxism) to and in education. It's the Marxification of Education. But what is actually more encapsulating is that Critical Pedagogy is, in fact, a self-proclaiming religion, based on the Liberation Theology of Hélder Câmara - Red Bishop of Recife - who developed and moulded the very persona of Paulo Freire. We all know who Paulo is. Yes, the Bless'd Father of this auto-deceptive religion. Critical Pedagogy is the Marxification of Catholicism posing as Education Theory and Paulo Freire was its evangelist, while his mentor and savior Câmara only but a groomer. Anyways, in terms of education, Paulo and his right-hand disciple, Henry Giroux, are single-handedly responsible for the cancerous phenomena one may call "woke." Looking at the complicated Marcusean New-Left Phenomenon like a pyramid scheme, Paulo and Giroux are at the apex and are seen as the revivalist of Marxism into the American landscape.

- III. The goal of critical pedagogy is emancipation from oppression through an awakening of critical consciousness, what Saint Friere calls, "the Word" which we are called upon to "proclaim, by denouncing the Old World for the New." This strikes meaningful parallels with Kendi's definition of *Antiracism* and Robin DiAngelo's imperative that all work towards this end is a "*Life-long and never-ending process.*" The religious tract called Pedagogy of the Oppressed (one of the most cited works in the social "sciences" of all time), is presently considered something like a foundational canon in nearly every school of education and pre-service teacher-education program in North America (and well beyond).

Not Liberation Theology determining curriculum in American Schools? Here are some quotes from Pedagogy of the Oppressed, the teachers pamphlet.

"The Naming of the world, which is an act of creation and re-creation, is not possible if it is not infused with love."

John, chapter one, "God as Logos speaks the world into existence."

"Dialogue cannot exist without Faith, Love and Hope."

"Problem-posing education (Critical Pedagogy as opposed to Traditional Pedagogy) is revolutionary and prophetic and, as such, hopeful."

"Critical Pedagogy is the Faith of Politically Conscious, Critically Awakened Literacy," and as Horkheimer tells us, "It is Critical in so far as it seeks to liberate mankind from the circumstances that oppress him."

- IV. This Liberation Theology is at the forefront of the American curriculum. It is not the State's job to character develop your children to mould them into political Marxist activists (SEL). That's what this is. Critical Pedagogy is the cult-grooming thought-reforming programme aimed at destabilizing learners into Marxist political activists. In the ninth chapter of *Politics of Education*, Freire outlines that the purpose of education is to humanise Man and the world, exactly as indicated by Karl Marx. It gets more interesting when Freire explains in the next chapter what educators must go through in order to be "true" educators. He calls for them to be brainwashed. (The United Nations defines brainwashing as the process of fixed personality death and rebirth through the medium of indoctrination). Paulo Freire describes this process as a *process of spiritual death and rebirth*, literally an Easter, which educators and religious leaders must go through to be resurrected on the side of the oppressed. This is at the religious heart of the so-called "pedagogy of the oppressed" at the center of Freire's entire project and legacy.

Last Remarks on the Marxification of the American Ethos and Some Examples of the Pathological Ramifications of Critical Theory

1. *Critical Theory Applied to Being Fat*—Fat Studies is a neo-Marxist radical-Left approach to nutrition education, it is *Critical Theory Applied to the Social Implications of Being Overweight*. According to leading scholarship in Fat Studies - (Critical Theory applied to the social implications of being overweight), (Marxism) - the desire to lose weight is not only a form of internalised fatphobia but a poisonous aspiration that only perpetuates and upholds the oppressive narratives of fat-stigma and thin-normativity. What follows from this is that science and common sense are treated as conspiracy theories rooted in the systemic hatred of fat people. This is not a fringe view but a required outlook by the very function of the philosophy behind this bullshit. If you are an advocate of Fat Studies you don't have a choice to believe otherwise.

"There is no correlation between weight and health." - Jeffrey Hunger, Ph.D; Brown, Lora Beth (March–April 2009). "Teaching the 'Health at Every Size' Paradigm Benefits Future Fitness and Health Professionals". Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior. 41 (2): 144–145.

2. *Material determinism by racial category*—I briefly explained this in the Q&A. Critical Race Theorists, much like Nazis, believe Race Essentialism to be fundamental to their philosophy - that is, race has strong material and actual significance. In a Liberal society, race, like hair colour and such, ought not have any significance, much less material or actual. One of the hallmarks in Critical Race Theory is "Material Determinism by Racial Category." Delgado & Stefancic (2001), Crenshaw (1991), Collins, P. H. Collins (2000), Sensoy & DiAngelo (2012), etc, etc, etc, etc, etc, etc, etc - et al, et al, et al, et al, et al. Critical Race Scholars believe unanimously that the social imposition of race creates a structurally determinate system in which "lived experiences" become essentializable, tangible, real. This leads to epistemologies with higher "truth values" than traditional approaches to knowing reality. Traditional methods of epistemology, such as, evidence, reason, deduction, inference and validity thus become secondary in discourse analysis. What becomes primary is Positional Standpoint Theory, which replace traditional "ways of knowing" with terms like, "Voices of Colour," "Lived Experience," "Authenticity." These, according to Critical Race Scholars, have higher epistemological truth-values and should replace agency in the American Legal System, with narrative weaving and counter-story telling. OJ Simpson is a prime example of Positional Standpoint Epistemology being injected into legal proceedings.

Fun Fact! Diversity means favouring superficial differences while nonetheless holding an identical worldview, namely, the Critical Social Justice Marxist Ideology.

3. *Critical Theory Applied to Race*—What is Critical Race Theory? I am not going to get too deep into the philosophy, but in simplistic terms, well, Frankfurt School Critical Theory Applied to Race. This is the Classical Liberalist view (Lindsay, Pluckrose, Boghossian, Mcwhorter, Sokal, Hicks, Hoff-Sommers, Friedman, Sowell, Arnhart). But don't take my word for it, let's confer to the founding 'experts.' At CRT's founding conference in Madison Wisconsin, Kimmy Crenshaw, her intellectual sugar daddy, Derrick Bell, and a few other self-proclaiming Marxist 'Race Scholars' asked Delgado and Stefancic (two more of the leading acolytes of this religion) to compile the proceedings of the conference into a book, which they called "Critical Race Theory, an Introduction." So to answer the question "What is Critical Race Theory," one must only read this atrocious book and attempt to dissect its cryptic prose and postmodern acrobatic jargon crap to find out, for it has been hailed as one of the world's leading sources in the Critical Social Justice Scholarship today. I read the book several years back and was overwhelmingly appalled and reviled for its heavy reliance on Critical Theory (neo-Marxism) and its obsession with Hegel's anti-liberal approach to historical progress, i.e., the Triadic Dialectic Fetish.

Though, I read it again recently and found it to be even worse than before. Anyways, simply replace the word "class" with "race" and, well there you have it. That's it. In other words, it is Marxism with a terminological sleight-of-hand. Critical Race Theory is Marxism with 'race' rather than 'class' as the focal point of analysis. There is literally no difference apart from that. It is also important to note that the founders of this activist movement and all the intellectuals they cite, with the exception of Foucault and Derrida, are ALL self-proclaiming Marxists. Don't tell me CRT isn't Marxist. It's Marxism, own it. The book quite literally begins with the full-blown admission that the entire movement they are catalysing is baked into a "*Critical Frankfurt Methodological Framework*" from the *Erste Marxistische Arbeitswoche*, and that the philosophical bedrock tethering all of the pieces together is Conflict Theory with a bit of Hegelian Social Alchemy. It is Critical Theory applied to race. It is Race Marxism. What is Critical Race Theory, well, I can tell you. It is a Critical Theory of Race (neo-Marxism applied to Race rather than Class). It is a hyper-Marxist, Post-Hegelian, far-left, ideologically faith-based, worldview that assumes that the ordinary operating system of society is oppressive racial power dynamics (Marx's Conflict Theory), that must be dismantled and overthrown in pursuit of a racial utopia (Horkheimer/Odorno/Freire/Gramsci/Lukács/DiAngelo/Sensoy/Ibrim X Kendi/Crenshaw/Ladson-Billings/William F. Tate/et al). All self-proclaiming Marxists. Read their books. To these scholars, history is nothing but a Hobbsean zero-sum battleground of identity (race) victim groups. (Conflict Theory). What else? Well, it is a grand conspiracy theory that posits people are born with the 'original sin' of whiteness, but more importantly, it is further Marxist because such a stain can only be cleansed by submitting yourself to an internalised reflection process of guilt affirmation and collective race consciousness (Robin DiAngelo and Özlem Sensoy, Ibrim X Kendi, Paulo Freire, et al). Read their books. Again, "Class Consciousness" is simply replaced with "Racial (cultural) Consciousness," (Antonio Gramsci and György Lukács) read their books. This is taught in schools (William F. Tate, Ladson-Billings - Toward a critical race theory of education). Read their books. Philosophies that posit a racial lens as the exclusive means of approaching reality are fundamentally racist. The purpose of this activist movement, according to its scholars, is to raise racial consciousness on collective mass scales for the purpose of achieving racial liberation, by overthrowing and dismantling the status-quo of the Liberal order (Max Horkheimer, Theodore Odorno, Crenshaw, Delgado, Stefancic). Read their books. You must approach reality exclusively with a racial lens, they call this being an '*anti-racist*.' And if you are not actively enacting this ideology (*anti-racism as praxis*), you are racist. This makes it a grand conspiracy theory, because you are racist without being racist. You are complicit whether you like it

or not. Agency is not formulated in the equation, and this is one of the fundamental tenets of Critical Legal Studies (another ramification of the Critical Social Justice Religion (Critical Theory applied to Civil Rights activism), which seeks to remove agency from the law in place of Positional Standpoint Epistemology and Story Narrative Weaving. Furthermore, these scholars unanimously accept Bell's Interest-Convergence Thesis (not going to explain that atrocity), along with the religious origin story of the 1619 Project, which is perhaps the most debunked and racist attempt at historical revisionism ever devised by a working brain. Nikole Hannah-Jones (that racist dog) was responsible for that enormity. Oh, yet another self-proclaiming Marxist. Anyways, the scholars at this conference eventually fanned out and wrote their own racist tracts to evangelise white people with their Critical Social Justice Ideology, which by design stands very against mainstream social justice movements, by crucifying reason for the Dialectic faith (Foucault/Derrida/Hegel), enacting Positional Standpoint Epistemology over legal reasoning (Pierre Bourdieu), and aiming at revolutionary ends rather than incremental ones (Marx/Hegel/Horkheimer/Adorno/Freire/Gramsci/Lukács/DiAngelo/Sensoy/Foucault/Derrida/et al). If you have read their books you'll see that their citations consists of a bit of Hegel for his synthetic Dialectical Faith over reason (Marx's hero) and Rousseau, with his disgusting social (racial) contract, but, in fact, mostly György Lukács and Antonio Gramsci, who were the most instrumental Marxist intellectuals that single-handedly fueled the societal shift from *class-based* Marxism to *identity-based* Marxism (Critical Social Justice Ideology). With the help of Hurbert Marcuse (the Father of the New Left - and yet another Marxist) this tertiary posse sparked the Identitarian Left phenomenon we see so rampant today in the United States, again, Critical Social Justice Ideology and all its ramifications. It's funny because despite the incalculable amounts of fringe journals and papers in the Critical Social Justice Scholarship, you can literally count with your fingers how much they are cited. The average citation count for a Critical Social Justice journal entry is four. I could refer you to *Higher Education in America*, a book by Derek Bok. I believe his estimation is more like 95 per-cent. Most what Critical Social Justice publishes is not a legitimate or honest scholarship. The Sokal Affair and the Greivenvece Studies Affair, both praised by Steven Pinker and Jonathan Haidt, have proven this.

So if people tell you Critical Race Theory isn't Marxist they are either lying through their teeth or they actually don't know jack-dick about what they are talking about, it is usually the latter - there really isn't anything in between.

4. *An Example of Linguistic Hijacking*—The Critical Social Justice Ideology loves hijacking the linguistic territory. One of my favourite terms is the word '*inclusion*.'

'Inclusivity' - 'Inclusion' - 'Inclusive' are words that equal speech suppression, hear me out. Much of what I am about to say is almost entirely animated by the spirit of Herbert Marcuse's gut-wrenching, jack-dick, 1960's essay, 'Repressive Tolerance,' which I read twelve times because that's how much I hate the man. The entire essay literally builds a calculated and philosophical, out-the-ass framework for the idea that tolerance is, in fact, repressive and shouldn't be preached on the theoretical grounds of some lingering 'false consciousness'. This coprolytic stain in human literature is in absolute and utter contrast with Voltaire's 'Treatise of Tolerance,' which is patently responsible for the Separation of Church and State as well as many other fundamental freedoms in the Liberal Order, which we all take for granted. Back to the trash that is Marcuse, his hyper-Marxist essay is single-handedly responsible for not only Cancel Culture, so rampant on university campuses, but also the Cult of Victimhood which drives the identity conflict-based underpinnings of the Critical Social Justice Ideology and its reality-loathing, ungrateful, oppressed acolytes. Little Herbbie here really did fuck us with this essay and it is perhaps the most calculated attack on the freedom of speech ever written. Anyways, the word 'inclusion,' which is one word out of about two-dozen others that have been hijacked by the Critical Social Justice acolytes of the far Left, means creating a social space where being offended is not possible, that is, where feeling welcome (included) takes complete and despotic precedence over ideas, thoughts and opinions - factual or otherwise. Just think about it. How can anybody challenge unwelcoming ideas if they are suppressed and silenced? More importantly, how can one merely think without the risk of being offensive. There are policies out there that demand 'all inclusive spaces'. Fuck off. Let's dismantle this Post-Hegelian Marxist cowshit, because your job and dignity may actually depend upon it. If I am talking to someone, I am most likely not going to offend them because we are probably more alike than we are different. Well, what about instead of one person, I am talking to three or five or even ten. Nahhh, probably not. But let's say I am talking to a group of one-hundred people. You really think you have the right not to be offended (inclusivity). Hell no you don't. At this point, it won't matter who I am, or what I say, I am going to offend at least one person, if not twenty and tough fucking shit. You don't have the right to exist in 'spaces' free of offensive ideas when the freedom of speech has been made the purpose of the Law and our Moral Landscape. Deal with it, you bloody prissy, reality-loathing, snowflaking, hyper-sensitive, fragile, intellectually undeveloped crackpots. If you don't like what people say, stand up against it and use the arsenal of ideas to challenge it,

instead of prissy-bitch neo-Marxist codewords, like 'inclusive' or 'microaggression' or 'safe space' or 'trigger.' (Not to be confused with the psychiatric term, which is COMPLETELY different). Face the world head on and seize its every moment with veracity and wit, with internal integrity and outwardly prowess. Don't cower behind linguistic cockblockers because you belong to some hypothetical victim category in the 21st Century. Being offended is fundamentally a bi-product of the freedom of speech and there is nothing you can do about it. Take it, own it. It is not possible to express yourself without gravely offending someone. It doesn't matter who you are and it doesn't matter what you say, you can't do it. Ever. It isn't even conceptually possible, that is, it is unthinkable. Perhaps the most eminent and most cited scholar on these matters is Johnathan Haidt (Classical Liberal), ask him what he thinks of words like 'safe spaces,' 'triggers' and 'microaggressions.' No need to take my word for it. They are all words people use who haven't earned their womanhood or manhood. So what does 'inclusion' mean? Well, to the Critical Social Justice Ideology, if someone (a minority of whatever victim paradigm they belong to - it's endless) is offended, then it is no longer an 'inclusive' space. If you are obese, then according to this religion you are oppressed and belong to one victim group among many others (conflict theory i.e., Marxism), and if I express myself with the opinion that you are unhealthy and not that attractive (OooO, that's violence), then you are no longer in a 'safe space,' for how can you possibly feel 'included' if you are offended. According to Fat Studies (which is Frankfurt critical theory applied to the 'lived experience' of being a fat-ass, same as Critical Race Theory, just replace 'black' with 'fat'), being obese is not a clinical issue. Look up the philosophy behind 'Fat Scholarship' and you'll find that it comprises mostly of Sapir-Whorfian, Postmodern, Social Constructivist horseshit, much like that of Critical Race Scholarship and Gender Studies. I guess the point to take away from all this rambling is that enforcing spaces where people are free from being offended is quite literally speech suppression and there is no way around it.

5. *The Woke Are Religious Fundamentalists*—They believe in a divine agency, but do not call Him God, but the State and by some æthereal property this absolute sovereign is expected to unconditionally provide and nourish its subjects, but only when due homage, humility and loyalty are payed. That is, when you surrender your individual being for the greater collective good. Much like a religion, it recoils from the world as it is and glorifies weakness and need, while also being so obsessed with the guilt of our fathers. It believes in a world of evil and exploitation but more importantly in the life hereafter, what they call Utopia, which is preceded by the End Times, what Saint Ibram X Kendi calls, The Dictatorship of the Anti-racists. In order to be saved and reach this state of being,

this Utopia, you must submit yourself to a guilt-laden Judeo-Christian cleansing process and come to terms with the original sin of whiteness; an inborn stain that can only be eradicated through an internalised self-reflective process of guilt affirmation - which is a lifelong never-ending journey, according to Her Blessedness, Robin DiAngelo. Only then can one be emancipated. It's racism. Much like a religion they are intolerant and their intolerance is cited throughout the Gospel According to Marcuse, their Patron Saint of Intolerance. They are hostile to all ideas that run in conflict to their perfect unifying model and have Bishops, Priests and Deacons to enforce anti-heretical slogans such as, 'Inclusion', 'Equity', and 'Diversity', words that do not mean, but rather deceive. These Bishops, Priests and Deacons, which, they call commissars or 'trainers,' specialise in the theology of diversity, implicit bias and antiracism. They have only one purpose and that is to indoctrinate and evangelise, what they call raising Critical Consciousness. The Holy Patriarch Paulo Freire teaches us that we mustn't ever take off the Dialectical lenses of oppression and approach reality through the exclusive prism of race and power dynamics. This is what Christians call the Good and the Evil, what the Woke call the Oppressor and Oppressed, and you are either one or the other, anything in between is blasphemy. If you do not actively enact and spread the Word and proclaim your awareness (praxis), if you do not uphold and perpetuate this religion and its moral imperatives, its Theory of Man and its duties of conscience, if you do not live by this code, you are guilty of not being woke, you are viciously accused of not living up to what they call anti-racism, if you do not act out this dogma you will be deemed a heretic, a blasphemer, a racist, a perpetrator of oppression and white supremacy. There are heresy-hunts for the unbelievers that consist of de-platforming, silencing, firing, threatening, and suppressing. Finally, much like a religion they are convinced with every drop of their blood that what and how they preach is true, despite conflicting and overwhelming evidence. This kind of sheer cosmic optimism is something only theism could justify. It is a religion and those preaching it are possessed.

This Ends the Brief on This Lecture.
